UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,935	02/25/2004	Paul Tzeng	3313-1119P	9554
10/784,935 02/25/2004 Paul Tzeng 3313-1119P  2292 7590 12/27/2006 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747  ART UNIT 2613  SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE NOTIFICATION DATE DE	EXAM	INER		
PO BOX 747		0.1	LE, T	.HI Ó
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	12/27/2006	ELECT	RONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 12/27/2006.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,935	TZENG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thi Q. Le	2613	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap eriod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION  136(a). In no event, however, may a light will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON  te. cause the application to become AF	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this commur	
tatus			
_	-ahmun 2004		
· <u>·</u> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ore proceeding on to the man	
closed in accordance with the practice under		•	เแร เร
	Ex parto Quayre, 1900 O.D.	7. 11, 400 O.G. 210.	
sposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•	
oplication Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	or		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 2004</u> is/ar		phiected to by the Evaminar	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			121/4\
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>		4404 \ 410 - 15	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	An harra harra da		
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		received in this National Stag	е
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	received.	
	•		•
achment(s)	اسا		•
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview S Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Ir	formal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/11/06, 12/13/06</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	<u> </u>	

Art Unit: 2613

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on 10/11/2006, 12/13/2006 was considered by the examiner.

# Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a) On page 4, line 19, replace "to 600" with --de-multiplexer 600--, before "for further processing".

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the

Application/Control Number: 10/784,935 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 1 recites, "collecting the redundant optical signals and combining the signals to a single optical signal". No-where, in the specification and the figures are there disclosures for performing combining multiples optical signals into a single optical signal. The closest description was on page 2 lines 1-20; but it differs from claim 1, in that it described receiving units 210A-B deliver two optical signals 220A-B to a single photodiode for optical-electrical conversion.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Claim 1 recites the limitation "collecting the redundant optical signals and combining the signals to a single optical signal "in lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/784,935 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Agurok et al. (US Patent # 6,369,925).

Consider **claim 1**, Agurok et al. clearly show and disclose, a wireless signal transmission and receiving system applied for a display device for receiving wireless signals omni directionally, comprising: a plurality of transmitting units (read as, transmitters 322-328; figure 3) for sending optical signals via optical beams; and a plurality of receiving units (read as, receiver 230-234; figure 2) for collecting the redundant optical signals (read as, same wavelength; column 4 line 46) and combining the signals to a single optical signal for conversion into an electrical signal for further processing (figures 2 and 3; column 4 line 46; column 5 lines 13-25 and 58-67; column 6 lines 20-31).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,935

Art Unit: 2613

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 5

- 13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 14. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agurok et al. (US Patent # 6,369,925) and in view of Miyamori (US Patent # 6,278,537).

Consider claim 2, and as applied to claim 1 above, Agurok et al. disclose the invention as described above, except for, wherein each of the receiving units further comprises a photodiode for converting the single optical signal into the electrical signal.

In related art, Miyamori disclose, a wireless signal transmission and receiving system, wherein each of the receiving units further comprises a photodiode (read as, each light receiving circuit 215a-b, convert the optical signal into electrical signal; figure 7) for converting the single optical signal into the electrical signal (figure 7; column 9 lines 27-37).

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Miyamori with Agurok et al. Since having a plurality of transmitters and receivers ensure that communication is not suspended when one of the optical transmission path is blocked.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,935

Art Unit: 2613

Consider claim 3, and as applied to claim 1 above, Agurok et al. as modified by Miyamori further disclose, wherein the transmitting units (read as, light emitting circuit 206a-b; Miyamori, figure 7) further comprises beam forming optics (read as, light emitting diode and diffuser; Miyamori, figure 7) (Miyamori, figure 7; column 9 lines 11-19).

Consider claim 4, and as applied to claim 1 above, Agurok et al. as modified by Miyamori further disclose, wherein the receiving units (read as, light receiving circuits 251a-b; Miyamori, figure 7) further comprises beam collecting optics (read as, lens; Miyamori, figure 7) (Miyamori, figure 7; column 9 lines 27-37).

15. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agurok et al. (US Patent # 6,369,925) and in view of Ruziak (US Patent # 6,907,013).

Consider claim 5, and as applied to claim 1 above, Agurok et al. disclose the invention as described above, except for, wherein the optical signals are converted from audio and video source devices.

In related art, Ruziak disclose, a wireless communication system, wherein the optical signals are converted from audio and video source devices (read as, optical communication signals from the television 28 and baser unit 22; figures 1a-c) (figures 1a-c; column 6 lines 43-54).

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Ruziak with Agurok et al. Since Ruziak provides a high-speed communication link capable of supporting interactive multimedia transmission.

Consider claim 6, and as applied to claim 1 above, Agurok et al. as modified by

Miyamori further disclose, wherein the optical signals are converted from computers (read as,

Art Unit: 2613

optical communication signals from the personal data assistant 29 and baser unit 22; Ruziak, figures 1a-c) (Ruziak, figures 1a-c; column 6 lines 50-55).

Consider claims 7 and 8, and as applied to claim 1 above, Agurok et al. as modified by Miyamori further disclose, wherein the optical signals are analog or digital in nature (read as, IR communication links may carry either digital or analog data; Ruziak) (Ruziak, column 3 lines 34-36).

### **Conclusion**

- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a) Crimmins, James W.; 4,977,619
  - b) Shibuya, Toshiyuki; 6,509,991
  - c) Kube et al.; 2004/0033078
  - d) Gfeller et al.; 6,850,709
  - e) Hiramatsu, Takuma; 7,099,589
- 17. Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Application/Control Number: 10/784,935

Art Unit: 2613

Page 8

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Thi Le whose telephone number is (571) 270-1104. The

Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-

3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2600.

Thi Le

KENNETH VANDERPUYE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER